

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-11, 24-29, and 32-45 are pending in the present application, claims 12-23, 30, 31, and 46-60 being withdrawn.

The Examiner has alleged that the presently pending non-withdrawn claims are directed to three (3) separate patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. More specifically, the Examiner alleges that the originally pending non-withdrawn claims are directed to the patentably distinct species as set forth in claims: (1) 1-11, 24, and 25; (2) 26-29; and (3) 32-45. This assertion by the Examiner and follow-on election of species requirement is respectfully traversed for the following reasons.

The Examiner has failed to provide a prima facie case that the alleged grouping of claims set forth patentably distinct species. The Examiner merely makes a statement that the alleged grouping of claims set forth patentably distinct species without any corresponding reasoning or arguments. The mere recitation of a conclusion without underlying evidence or reasoning fails to establish a prima facie case.

In view of the above discussion, the Applicants, elect, with traverse, claims 26-29, which read upon the alleged second species. It is further noted that claim 26 is generic to claims 1-11, 24, 25, and 32-45.

Accordingly, in view of all the reasons set forth above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this election of species requirement. Also, an early indication of allowability is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Matthew E. Connors  
Registration No. 33,298  
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens  
225 Franklin Street, Suite 3300  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110  
Telephone: (617) 426-9180  
Extension 112